QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

Questions	Answers	
1. COUNCILLOR MARK CHERRY	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY	
I have usually reported streetlights faults inquiries in Banbury Ruscote via a FixMyStreet report, but in cases like Beaumaris Close and Lincoln Close, where Oxfordshire County Council, Cherwell District Council and then eventually Sanctuary Housing have taken ownership, will the Cabinet member for Highways Delivery look at totally overhauling the current adoption of streetlights system by Oxfordshire County Council? This is even more prevalent in wards like Banbury Ruscote with nearby housing developments like Banbury Rise Bloor homes housing developments.	If the member has specific suggestions for how the existing system can be improved, I would always welcome these. However, the highway adoptable street lighting cannot be separated from the rest of the highway assets and the adoption process must consider all aspect of the public highway i.e. Carriageway, footway, drainage, public utilities, landscaping and trees etc. The areas referred to are on existing or established roads, which have had private development built adjacent to them. The private development to which you refer are the responsibility of the landowner, developer or management company. The Developer or landowner must request the roads for adoption and the County Council must be satisfied that they can be adopted. (I am sure that all members would agree that we do not want to adopt highways that are of sub-standard quality where it is a developer's responsibility.) When adoption is processed, it is done so for the areas of highway in their entirety i.e. the footway, carriageway, drainage and street lighting.	
	All Oxfordshire County Council streetlights have identification numbers and a County Council freephone sticker number attached. This helps to easily identify assets that are the County's responsibility. When reporting faults on FixMyStreet, these would be easily identifiable as all the streetlights, managed by Oxfordshire County Council, are on the mapping database.	
2. COUNCILLOR KIERON MALLON	COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL	
Can the Leader of the Council tell me how many Liberal Democrat member's sit on the arc leadership executive?	I can confirm that as of present there are four Liberal Democrat Leaders who are part of the Arc Leadership Group (remembering that not all councils are part of this group) and three of those are currently members of the Arc Leadership Group Executive.	

3. COUNCILLOR SOBIA AFRIDI

Following the recent serious flood incidents over Christmas and early February, residents across my division in South Oxford were adversely affected and are very anxious that the Oxford Flood Alleviation Scheme is started urgently. At present, commencement is dependent on the County Council completing work on the A423 Kennington Bridge. It was the survey of the bridge to accommodate the flood channel that uncovered the need for its replacement. The revised plan for a bridge with wider span between supports enables a better design for the culvert and, therefore, works are essential for the Flood Alleviations to be successful. Can the Cabinet tell me when this work on the bridge is going to start and provide assurance that they are doing all they can to ensure it does not slow progress on flood prevention in our area?

Answers

COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT

The County Council is working closely with the Environment Agency due to the relationship between the Kennington Bridge works and the Oxford Flood Alleviation scheme. Both organisations are progressing the projects through the normal stages related to such large-scale infrastructure improvements. The County Council is nearing completion of the Preliminary Design Stage of the project. Detailed Design will follow this with construction expected early 2023 through to early 2026. The projects are both very complex and require a variety of steps and approvals along the way. The project teams are progressing the project as quickly as is sensible.

4. COUNCILLOR MARK CHERRY

You will note the recent speed limit changes on the A422 Stratford Road by the entrance to Bloor Homes housing developments from 40MPH to 30MPH. In essence, what the residents of trinity close need is a 20MPH speed limit and signage from the entrance to the traffic lights on Warwick Road. This would make it safer for North Oxfordshire Academy school and the environment.

COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY

Thank you for the question and for bringing this to my attention. I acknowledge the need for a reduction in speed on the A422 Stratford Road past the North Oxfordshire Academy School as well as a reduction in speed limits in certain areas in Banbury, including in my own division, and Cllr Mallon's, which have a high concentration of schools.

As well as welcome additional investment in the Budget to progress 20 mph zones, individual schemes can progress more quickly if there is local funding for

Questions	Answers
Can the Cabinet Member look into a timescale for facilitating a 20MPH speed limit including signage, noting that Banbury Ruscote lost out on Active Travel funding in 2020?	them, whether from Councillors' Priority Fund monies or other funding pots. In general, the following steps are required to be followed to progress a 20-mph scheme:
	 Check that 20mph is acceptable from a transport planning / place perspective Undertake speed surveys to understand compliance of existing speed limit and level of measures likely to be required for 20mph Feasibility work to identify measures to ensure self-enforcement of 20mph Local engagement, co-design and buy-in from the local community, including informal consultation Formal consultation of TROs Report and decision at Cabinet Member Decision meeting Detailed design of measures if approved Implementation of scheme A strategy for introducing 20mph across the county will be formulated as part of the Local Transport & Connectivity Plan and officers from the Infrastructure Locality Team can help to investigate any opportunities for third party funding to progress a scheme on the A422 more quickly.
5. COUNCILLOR KIERON MALLON	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY
How many miles of road is this Council responsible for and how many potholes and defects were	We are responsible for 4973km (3090m) of roads in the County. On top of this, we also maintain 2600km (1616m) of Public Rights of Way.
repaired across Oxfordshire last year?	Below is a breakdown of the potholes and overall defects repaired in the last Financial Year. As we are so close to year end – we've also included this years' numbers for information.
	As we are near the end of the current financial year, I have included data for both the current and previous years.

Questions	Answers
	Last financial year (2019 Apr-2020 Mar) we repaired 42,198 safety defects of which 32,823 where carriageway pothole repairs
	So far this year (2020 Apr -2021 Mar) we have repaired 41,704 safety defects of which 30,412 where carriageway pothole repairs.
6. COUNCILLOR HILARY HIBBERT-BILES	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Can the Cabinet member update the Council on the Park and Charge scheme generally and, more particularly, can she tell us how many EV charging points it will consist of and how many Council car parks will benefit from the scheme?	The Park and Charge project is targeted at car parks where there is a need to support local residents who don't have access to off-road parking and are unable to install their own charger. Working with district council colleagues, the sites have been selected that best meet where this need is highest. The chargers will be available for use by local residents overnight to provide a reliable and reasonably priced charging system as well as providing EV charging to the general car park user during the day. The project is funded by Innovate UK and we are working with a number of commercial partners to deliver this.
	The project is progressing well and the first (pilot) car park in Bicester is planned to open towards the end of April 2021. This will be a soft launch to start with a more formal, public launch to take place during May. After this the remaining planned car parks are due for charge point installations between June and December this year.
	Overall, the plans are that 24 car parks across Oxfordshire will benefit from the installation of charge points with 140, twin socket charge points being installed in total (280 EV car parking spaces).

Questions	Answers
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
I just hope that Councillor Constance will notify the Town and Borough Town Councils when this work will be done in advance so that they can let the local residents know.	Yes, certainly the commitment has been made by West Oxfordshire District Council and they have allocated the car parks that will get EV charging. We will make sure to let them know.
7. COUNCILLOR TED FENTON	COUNCILLOR LORRAINE LINDSAY-GALE, CABINET MEMBER FOR EDUCATION & CULTURAL SERVICES
Could the Cabinet Member tell me how many parents and children were offered their first preference of a secondary school place for September 2021?	I can confirm that 6,321 Oxfordshire children were offered a first preference school on 1 March 2021. This represents almost 9 in 10 of Oxfordshire children in secondary transfer.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Does she know how many of our secondary schools are significantly under-rolled for the coming year?	Thank you, Councillor Fenton, yes, I know how many schools have spare places. It is 13 which is not many. I can send you a full list of the exact numbers and if there are other Councillors who are interested, I send a link to everybody.
8. COUNCILLOR ANDA FITZGERALD- O'CONNOR	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
How does Oxfordshire perform in terms of recycling rates relative to other counties in England and what percentage of household waste was recycled in Oxfordshire last year?	Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) is a Waste Disposal Authority (WDA). The District and City councils are responsible for collection of household waste (Waste Collection Authorities WCA's) and the county is responsible for disposing of it. OCC are also responsible for the provision of Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRCs) across the county.
	As a WDA, we are responsible for the submission of data to the Environment Agency, and the recycling rates that we report are countywide figures, incorporating data from all the WCAs, as well as the HWRCs.

Questions	Answers	Answers		
	for 7 years running. In 2019/20 (the last	cil Waste Disposal Authority recycling rate full set of data available). Oxfordshire se national average was 45.5%. The top 5		
	Waste Disposal Authority	Recycling, Composting and Reuse Rate 2019/20		
	Oxfordshire County Council	58.8%		
	Devon County Council	56.6%		
	Surrey County Council	56.0%		
	Cambridgeshire County Council	55.4%		
	Buckinghamshire County Council	53.9%		
	the residual (general waste bin) could have systems. If all this was recycled, we could and save around £2million/year. We are to recycle more of their waste and have identify which bin to use, or where they (www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/wastewizard). As well as recycling a good proportion of at not producing it in the first place. For slowest County Council kg/head household amongst WDAs, we are not directly come	uld have a recycling rate of around 80% working with residents encourage them developed the waste wizard to help them can donate the item f our waste, residents are also very good several years we have had amongst the old waste figures. (While we are ranked 8 sparable with urban authorities — we a lot of garden waste that adds to our		

Questions	Answers		
	Waste Disposal Authority	Household waste collection (kg/head) 2019/20	
	Western Riverside Waste Authority	282.49	
	North London Waste Authority	325.32	
	West London Waste Authority	326.90	
	East London Waste Authority	365.44	
	Hertfordshire County Council	403.08	
	Greater Manchester WDA (MBC)	405.81	
	Buckinghamshire County Council	410.69	
	Oxfordshire County Council	414.51	
	Kent County Council	425.84	
	Surrey County Council	426.24	
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION Thank you for your comprehensive reply, but I would like to know what impact did lockdown 2020 have on waste and recycling management?	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER The figure that I have from officers is, (and course only up to December where we have so considering that the tips were largely cloeating at home, gardening at home does precycling and it demonstrates the great nee	e records) Recycling increased by 8%, sed it does indicate that living at home, oduce a quite considerable increase in d for local recycling centres.	
9. COUNCILLOR PETE HANDLEY	COUNCILLOR LAWRIE STRATFORD, CA SOCIAL CARE & PUBLIC HEALTH	BINET MEMBER FOR ADULT	
The Corporate Plan noted by members last month refers to there being, at least, 18,071 carers in Oxfordshire and there being difficulties in recruiting and retaining staff owing to the high cost of living locally. Does the Cabinet member agree with me	Thank you for the question, recruiting and reimportant for us in Oxfordshire. We are doing recruiting staff and I agree with you that including opportunities is part of the responsion planners and developers to maximise this.	ng some great work with OCPA in reasing the number of key worker	

Questions	Answers
that there is a need to build good quality houses for key workers such as this at a price they can afford?	
10. COUNCILLOR TED FENTON	COUNCILLOR IAN CORKIN, CABINET MEMBER FOR COUNCIL BUSINESS & PARTNERSHIPS
Can the Cabinet Member update the Council on the innovative work in flight with iHub and its importance to our commitment to ensure a thriving local economy?	 The iHub collaborates with industry and academia to develop, trial and evaluate cutting edge projects and plays a significant role in place-based innovation across the County and much wider which in turn contributes to the local economy. To date the IHub has been part of over 70 projects that have totalled over £135million, this has been made up of private sector investment alongside public R&D investment such as UK Research and Innovation (UKRI), or Horizon 2020 funding, with the majority of this funding focusing on projects applied in Oxfordshire. The work and approach have contributed to the support of local industry, for example via: Creating opportunities for industry: A lot of i-Hub partners have been local innovative companies, that wish to develop and test their technology in the real world. By partnering with the county council, these projects have created opportunities (including funding) for projects that align with our own strategic goals, such as inclusive safe and green mobility. Examples include the DRIVEN and Endeavour Connected & Autonomous Vehicle (CAV) projects with Oxbotica, the Park & Charge Electric Vehicles (EV) charging projects with Cate and the OmniCAV and MultiCAV CAV Data and Modelling projects with Latent Logic (now part of Waymo) and Arrival. Supporting new Sector growth – IHub has been integral to supporting the development of the CAV sector in the County, there are now a number of companies and organisations that deliver services in this sector and employ hundreds of people, Oxfordshire is now the heart of this new sector in the

Questions	Answers
	UK and most likely Europe. Also in the energy sector IHub was integral, alongside Oxford University and Low Carbon Hub, in bringing together a collaboration and working through the bidding process for Local Energy Oxford, which enabled Oxfordshire to be home to a £40million Grand Challenge project that it is hoped will provide the benchmark for future energy systems and also enable both increased investment in zero carbon generation but also new opportunities and services. Investment A number have companies have been able to generate significant investment, which has in part been generated after being able to prove their business models or products through these projects, for example Oxbotica recently raised a further £34 million to add to the £14 million from 2018 secured following the DRIVEN project and last year Arrival were invested in by Kia & Daihatsu with \$100m to value them over £1bn and make them the countly's latest "Unicorn" company. Promoting inclusive innovation for the benefit of all: Through the iHUB being integral to the Council it is aligned with the public benefit, and especially interested in supporting solutions that benefit the industry while catering for urgent population needs. Examples include Health & Care innovation projects, working with carers, care homes, pharmacies and public care providers. Creating a fertile innovation space: Through the work of iHUB, industry and academia have the opportunity to access data and insights to accelerate their development. This includes the safe and appropriate data sharing, creation of innovation spaces, dissemination events and creating opportunities for development, including local transport policy, design guides, area plans and digital infrastructure strategies, to ensure the future proving of solutions as to create an attractive environment for business and public, making the most of new technologies. This is also translated into practical solutions for the county, for example through the GovTech Challenge in securing external funding of a

Questions	Answers					
	for the future of traffic management systems. - Supporting international opportunities: through collaboration with the Department of International Trade, other local authorities and trade organisations, iHub staff regularly represent the UK as best practice in innovation and have contributed in developing the reputation of Oxfordshire as a key destination for new technology developments and building partnerships across the globe, for example with the Barcelona Smart Cities and Data teams. This is only a summary of the projects being delivered and moving forward					
	Llewelyn Morgan (He the meantime the Oxf be accessed here: htt	ad of Innovat ordshire Cou	ion) and the	he team will pro cil Innovation H	ovide more UB 2020 E	e updates. In Brochure can
11. COUNCILLOR KIERON MALLON	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY					
What is the average cost of a repair broken down by the various methods employed by the Council's contractor and how are we working with our contractor to drive down unit costs and improve the duration of each repair?	The table below provi costs.	des as summ	nary of the	e average of the	e different	defect repair
	Repair Types	2019-20 No. Defect Repair (Actuals)	% Defect Repair	2019-20 Repair Cost (Actuals)	% Rep air Cost	Average Cost per Defect
	1. Non-cut repair	15,095	30%	£ 943,058	25.4%	£ 63
	2. Cut repair	14,972	30%	£1,503,846	40.5%	£100
	3. Dragon Patch	20,081	40%	£1,266,802	34.1%	£ 63
	TOTALS	50,148		£3,713,706		£74.05
	Each repair method h	as a slightly (different a	pplication and	this is exr	olained

Questions	Answers		
	 Non-cut Pothole Repair A Non-cut Pothole repair is the simplest pothole repair method. The affected area is swept clean and bonded before new material applied to the hole. If the extent of the deterioration is limited to within the pothole, and there are no underlying structural issues, this type of repair can last as long as any other. 		
	2. Cut Repair A square/rectangle is saw cut around the pothole with the material excavated and removed. A full depth repair is then made. This is more durable than a non-cut repair, however, there is no advantage where the defect is limited to the surface layers only within a pothole.		
	3. Dragon Patch A Dragon Patch tends to be used on more rural parts of the network. It is used along a predetermined route to maximum output of the machine and deliver value for money. The Dragon Patcher not only repairs potholes and failed areas but is also applied to the areas around a pothole which are about to fail, or defects which are likely to form potholes (preventative maintenance as opposed to solely reactive).		
	We are committed to driving down the costs of repairing defects and are currently undertaking a full defect review which considers policies, process, scheduling and repair methods. It is anticipated that this will not only reduce costs but will also increase efficiency and customer satisfaction.		
	This year we have proactively increased the number of 'cut' defect repairs undertaken rather than defaulting to 'non-cut' and have already noticed a reduction complaints and concerns.		

Questions	Answers	
	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT	
unanimously in support of a cycling motion which included six strategic active travel commitments for Oxfordshire. Since that time national Govt has increased commitment to active travel spending, including most recently the Emergency Active Travel Schemes and achieving modal shift to active travel for short trips is a key Council policy priority. However recent capital schemes whilst welcome in principle have frequently failed to adhere to best practice design standards at implementation phase which risks negating the intended outcomes. Please could a progress update be provided specifically on point (v) of the relevant motion - 'Establish a framework to oversee quality control of all active travel infrastructure	The Active Travel Hub (ATH) was established in 2020 with the role of accelerating our promotion of active travel, developing and influencing policy, and bringing forward plans for projects that will see a step increase in the levels of walking and cycling. The Oxfordshire Streets Design Guide is nearing completion with the finalised version anticipated to be presented to Cabinet for approval in May 21. The ATH is currently developing an update of both the Oxfordshire Walking Design Standards (OWDS) and Oxfordshire Cycling Design Standards (OCDS) which is set out as an ATH priority for 2021/2. Within the review of current standards, we also intend to review current OCC processes and procedures to ensure that all new proposals meet OWDS and OCDS from first inception to delivery. Regarding our capital programme delivery, we acknowledge to date that we may not have met all of OCC's standards but are now addressing this through using the guidance/identified above and aligning our designs to the Government Circular LTN1/20 where possible. We are ensuring that our designers are trained in Oxfordshire Wide Walking and Cycling Standards.	
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER	
Thank you, Councillor Constance for your response. It is over 2 years since we agreed this cycling motion and it is important that all elements are delivered. In relation to point 5, your answer refers to quality control of the scheme, but I want to understand what is happening to ensure audit of	The only answer I can give you is that I will enquire and let you know.	

Questions	Answers
implementation? because we have seen numerous instances where these schemes have failed at implementation stage.	
13. COUNCILLOR SUZANNE BARTINGTON	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Investment in Emergency Active Travel schemes in Bicester and Witney are welcome, however the Witney scheme has multiple challenges arising from the deadline for expenditure of funds due to the OxLEP source which is a barrier to undergoing a full design process in accordance with LTN 120, including overcoming issues with land permissions and ensuring overall scheme quality. Please could the Cabinet Member clarify why DfT funding (with a longer timescale) was allocated to Oxford components only?	The total value of the OCC DfT Active T2 submission was approximately £4.5m, the total amount awarded was £2.9m (125% of the original allocation). Prior to DfT award Officers were not confident that OCC would receive the full £4.5m and so sought to identify opportunities for additional funding to help close any shortfall. Consequently, officers were successful in realising an award of £1.4m capital funding from OXLEP on the premise that it would be spent/allocated fully by the end of March 21. The Bicester and Witney schemes were more advanced in their development and with greater assurances that they could be delivered within the financial envelope and required timescales, the decision was made that these should progress using the LEP funds. Subsequently, the £2.9m DfT funding was awarded and is being used to deliver both the Oxford Active Travel capital programme and complementary measures including cycling and activation programmes across Oxford, Bicester and Witney (in line with DfT requirements). It should be noted that the extended timescales for
	DfT funded schemes did not materialise until later due to recognition of delayed notification and the need for extensive consultation was identified.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Yes, thank you Councillor Constance for clarifying the difference in funding streams for the Active Travel tranche 2 in Oxford, Bicester and Witney, specifically now that the Witney scheme is clearly LEP funded. Is there any possibility of extending the timescale for consultation to achieve the March	The information that I have from officers is that the OxLEP scheme is probably most time limited now so that the Witney funding must be completed. The Department has agreed to some delay in the delivery of the Active Travel Funding, but I don't see that is an opportunity for LEP funding. I will enquiry and let you know.

Questions	Ar	nswers
deadline?		
14. COUNCILLOR SUZANNE BARTINGTON		DUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR IVIRONMENT
doubling of tree cover by 2045 has now been considered in terms of viability and whether	1.	Recognise the critical role of existing tree preservation and planting for effective climate action and consider developing a Trees and Woodland Strategy.
	a.	The current tree policy (attached) highlights how we manage the County Council's trees and how we try to preserve them. Options for developing a Tree and Woodland Strategy are currently being considered.
progress has been made towards a Trees and Woodland Strategy?	2.	Set a target for increased tree cover in Oxfordshire, and explore the viability of doubling coverage by 2045
	a.	This has not been addressed because there is no specific funding to replace trees that have been removed. There are opportunities to increase canopy cover using planning policy on new developments and funding opportunities for private landowners to create new woodland. Planting trees on the OCC estate and highway networks does increase maintenance costs and therefore funding for tree planting must take into account future maintenance costs.
	3.	Undertake a survey to identify existing tree cover and suitable sites for new trees (with consideration for habitat protection, land-use and biodiversity)
	a.	We are working towards a large data capture exercise as part of our new four- year cyclical inspection programme for Highway trees. Combining our new tree management software with other data sets available to OCC should enable OCC to create a priority planting map to target areas of low canopy cover; air quality/pollution; social deprivation; schools; and population

Questions	Answers
	 densities. Work collaboratively with District, Town and Parish Councils, civic and commercial partners to deliver tree planting initiatives, considering maintenance responsibilities.
	a. Not currently progressing this but may be able to in future relating to the new Local Authority Tree Planting Fund.
	5. Influence developer schemes to ensure tree planting is undertaken, supported by relevant planning agreement contributions.
	a. This is a focus for District Councils as the Local Planning Authority. More Oxfordshire County Council resources would be required, in terms of staff, in order to provide better early engagement to enable clear ambitions for developments and clear directions for developers who would like the County to adopt new tree lined roads.
	6. Write to the SoS for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs to request additional local authority funding to support tree-planting and maintenance."
	a. See point 4.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
This is regarding the trees ambition, particularly with regard to doubling tree cover in Oxfordshire by 2045, which I was really hoping as an authority we would buy into as an ambition, particularly giving our Net-Zero commitment and progress in that regard. So, I just wanted to clarify will funding be sorted to enable that and will that ambition be	We have made it clear right through this ambition for tree planting that it will not be a commitment made by the County. But we are certainly expecting and requiring where we can, developers to increase tree planting and where we have an opportunity to do so we are placing trees that a removed, we are maintaining trees, there is a new focus on tree planting and tree management but at the moment there is no funding to do a major tree planting operation. Parish Councils are being encouraged both by ourselves and the Woodland Trust and I have seen

Questions	Answers
explored?	a number of parish schemes that are rolling through effectively and planting and adopting trees in and around parishes which might be a very good way to extend the tree planting scheme in Oxfordshire.
15. COUNCILLOR CHARLES MATHEW	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
OCC announced in 2018/19 its intention to introduce a zero-emission zone in Oxford City, which would be the first totally zero zone in <i>any</i> UK city: will the Cabinet Member report on any progress on this project which was stalled by lockdown in 2020?	Proposals for a Zero Emission Zone (ZEZ) in Oxford form part of the current Local Transport Plan. The ZEZ is to be rolled out in phases, starting in August 2021 with the creation of a ZEZ Pilot in a small area of Oxford city centre (previously referred to as the Red Zone). This would make Oxford one of the first places in Britain to introduce a ZEZ. The intention is to then introduce the full Zero Emission Zone (previously referred to as the Green Zone) in spring 2022, subject to the outcomes of a separate consultation on the full zone.
	An informal consultation on the previous (Red Zone) proposals took place during January 2020 and a formal consultation had been planned for March 2020, but this was postponed due to Covid-19. It was picked up again at the end of last year when ZEZ Pilot proposals were published as part of a period of formal consultation on the scheme between November 2020 and January 2021. The ZEZ Pilot scheme was approved for implementation at the Cabinet meeting on 16 March 2021.
	The Pilot scheme will operate via a road charging scheme with a range of discounts proposed including for residents and businesses in the Pilot area, Blue Badge holders, care and health workers' vehicles and for students arriving/leaving at the beginning and end of university term.

Questions	Answers
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
When will this pilot be in the rest of Oxford City and the other major candidates?	The pilot scheme will go live in August this year and we will extend it, once we have established how the charging works, how the enforcement works, how the exemptions work which is the point of the pilot. We plan to extend it to the whole of Central Oxford, the Green Zone in the original mapping of our ambitions in this scheme. We intend to extend it by Spring next year.
16. COUNCILLOR PETE HANDLEY	COUNCILLOR LAWRIE STRATFORD, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE & PUBLIC HEALTH
How many adults with learning difficulties and people aged 65+ are supported by adult social care and does the Cabinet member agree with me that we must do everything we can to make adult social care an attractive and sustainable long-term career?	At the 1 st March 2021 this council was supporting 1681 adults with a learning disability with on-going care and 3494 older people. We additionally support 909 people with a physical disability or mental health problem. Supporting our most vulnerable citizens is a primary responsibility of the council and its therefore imperative that we do everything to recognise and value the role staff providing the support ply. I would like to go on record in saying how grateful I am to all the staff working across Oxfordshire in Social Care, you do a wonderful job each and every day and we are extremely grateful.
17. COUNCILLOR NICK CARTER	COUNCILLOR IAN CORKIN, CABINET MEMBER FOR COUNCIL BUSINESS & PARTNERSHIPS
Can the cabinet member update on plans for achieving ultrafast full fibre broadband in our market towns?	As you may be aware, the current focus of government intervention schemes is the final 20% (F20) of premises in the hardest to reach rural areas. Our market towns do not fall into this definition and are therefore excluded from these schemes. This is generally because:
	The vast majority of residents already have superfast speeds available. As a consequence, current Department of Digital, Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS) programme rules exclude them as they are not considered F20.

Questions	Answers
	 Their Postcodes do not sit in the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEfRA) rural categorisations of D1 E1 or F1 to which DCMS intervention programmes apply.
	This means that, according to the DCMS model, our market towns will be covered by commercial activity from suppliers based on demand and their own roll-out plans. As we know from experience, this is not necessarily the case for several reasons.
	 Virgin Media has partial coverage in many market towns which, in effect, "sterilises" the rest of the town for other suppliers. Virgin Media have not indicated that they have plans to extend their network in these towns, leaving large parts with only a superfast offering.
	 Openreach will eventually have plans for these towns as it is now their policy to begin retiring their copper network from 2025 but the roll out of this plan in Oxfordshire is as yet mostly undefined. We do, however, know Banbury will be provided with fibre by Openreach as this was announced last year.
	 There is a perceived lack of customer demand from some suppliers (based on a belief that consumers are currently satisfied with their download speeds) and this would result in the cost of installing fibre not being covered by take up.
	 Working in market towns is more difficult and expensive for operators. Much more traffic control is usually needed, and disruption is sometimes quite considerable, often resulting in negative feedback and press for the supplier. This pushes them towards the back of the build queue.
	 Whilst suppliers are being subsidised to connect the more difficult premises, they will be less concerned about the easier ones they have to provide fibre to, at their own expense.
_	

Questions	Answers
	The OCC Digital Infrastructure team in had already identified market towns as a potential problem area and is working on several fronts to try to resolve this issue.
	We are currently making a case into DCMS outlining that to provide better coverage in remote rural areas whilst leaving market towns behind to commercial roll-outs is a strategic error on their part and we are providing evidence to them from our own data to support this.
	The Rural Gigabit Connectivity Anchor Hub Site programme, for which we are about to issue a tender, will also address the needs of market towns by providing fibre connections to a range of public buildings in them – Council Offices, GP Surgeries, Fire Stations, Libraries, Museums and Schools – which suppliers can then build out from. OCC will be funding the work to those buildings that DCMS have declined to fund and this will be paid for from the gainshare payments being made to OCC by Openreach from the Better Broadband for Oxfordshire Programme.
	Working with suppliers and our district partners and county colleagues to assist in any way we can (planning, wayleaves, highways work, etc) with commercial plans that do include market towns. For example, Gigaclear have 47 planned builds approved by their commercial team for across West Oxfordshire, which includes towns such as Witney, Chipping Norton, Burford and Carterton.
	We are working with suppliers and communities using voucher schemes and Demand Led Projects (we are currently providing top-up funding to double the value of a voucher).
	We will continue to push the case for our market towns with DCMS and suppliers and also to ensure every opportunity to apply for funding to bring fibre to them is pursued.

Questions	Answers
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Thank you Councillor Corkin for a very full answer. You may be aware that I was the Cabinet Member responsible when the County launched its broadband programme, so I am delighted to note the successful conclusion of the programme. My supplementary question is will he be kind enough to pass on my warmest congratulations to Craig Bower and his team whose work has never been anything less than usually impressive.	Yes, Councillor Carter I certainly will do that. That team has performed miracles within the County, so I am very happy to do that and they are now focused on the next generation of ultra-fast, so hopefully we will be adding a nought to the end of all the speeds very soon.
18. COUNCILLOR MICHAEL WAINE	COUNCILLOR LORRAINE LINDSAY-GALE, CABINET MEMBER FOR EDUCATION & CULTURAL SERVICES
Could the Cabinet Member tell me what support/advice was given to schools for their return on 8 th March with regard to COVID testing and the wearing of masks?	Advice and support given to schools regarding 8 th March's wider opening of schools is in line with DfE guidance. Throughout the pandemic the LA Education Covid Cell has shared the DfE guidance with all schools via emails, briefings and through Schools News. A weekly frequently asked Questions (FAQ) is published. Governors/Trustees, in addition, receive pertinent communications via 'Governor Hub'. The Education Covid Cell has been available to schools for advice and support 7 days a week since 1 st September closing only on Christmas Day and News Years Day. Heads can contact the team for bespoke support and advice regarding all Covid matters including isolation periods, infection control and testing. Each week the Team deliver 4 HT briefings for primary, special, secondary and independent schools. Monthly meetings for EY and Governors are also held. Testing has been the focus of additional briefings weekly for 6 weeks for schools. During this time secondary schools' staff have moved from 'one site' testing (in secondary) to 'home testing'. Home testing for primary staff has been in place

Questions	Answers
	throughout the Spring term. Students in secondary move as of the 15 th March to 'Home Testing'. Household bubbles can now access home testing.
	School leaders have prepared thoroughly for the wider return of pupils to school and their dedication is to be commended.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Would the Cabinet Member join the Education Scrutiny Committee in thanking our Oxfordshire Schools and their Leaders for all their dedication in maintaining learning and safeguarding over the past year and our safety county team for supporting them so efficiently.	Thank you very much Councillor Waine, yes of course I would, I think our teachers have been phenomenal over the last year. I can give you some figures actually which will proved how efficiently and caringly they have made our schools safe for our children because the attendance figures for last week were 95%, which is really incredible, so I thank them all very much from all of us.
19. COUNCILLOR MICHAEL FOX-DAVIES	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Will the Cabinet Member please report on achievements by this Council towards meeting its target of net zero carbon in our own estate by 2030?	OCC's own estate net-zero carbon target includes emissions from highway assets (streetlighting and traffic signals), fleet (approximately 500 vehicles), staff travel, and approximately 120 corporate buildings' heating and electricity. The full scope of our target can be found in our Greenhouse Gas reporting .
	Corporate emissions have declined 50.8% between the baseline year of 2010/11 and 2019/20, from 26,510t CO ₂ e to 13,051t CO ₂ e. From 2018/19 to 2019/20, corporate emissions decreased 8%, exceeding our current annual target of 6%.
	In 2019/20, emissions from fleet reduced 7% and from staff travel reduced 16%. Emissions from highway assets reduced by 11%. Emissions from buildings' electricity dropped 11%, while emissions from heating increased by 11% (this was lower than the 17% increase that would have been expected due to cold weather in this year).

Questions	Answers
	The 2019/20 figures cover the period to the end of March 2020, so they do not reflect reductions due to COVID-related changes, which are now being incorporated into our work practices. Emissions from this period will be reported in our next round of emissions reporting this summer. Digital by default meetings and service digitalisation contribute not only to a reduction in staff travel but also help partner organizations and residents curb emissions.
	The council's LED conversion programme has now converted 31.5% of streetlights, contributing to nearly 813t CO2e and 245,000 kWh savings last year. The programme aims to have all 59,631 lanterns fitted with LED equipment by 2025/26.
	The council has secured £2.13m from Public Sector Decarbonisation Fund for heat decarbonisation and energy efficiency measures across 7 council buildings and 4 maintained schools. This Programme will be delivered across 2021. These measures will translate into 200t CO2e savings realisable from beginning 2022. Other measures carried out to reduce estate emissions include:
	 a. Heating control project at Speedwell House b. 42 PV panels installed in Ron Groves House in Kidlington c. Benson Library off the gas grid with solar panels, battery storage and heat pump
	OCC has an 'electric by default' fleet replacement policy. The fleet now has 21 EVs, served by a network of 44 EV charging points at 17 council sites. The goal is to replace all cars with EVs by 2024 and all vans by 2028.
	We are looking at opportunities to use our own estate to trial innovative technologies such as building energy flexibility through Project LEO (Local Energy Oxfordshire) and Vehicle to Grid charging.
	Since October 2020, the council is buying certified green grid electricity for council buildings and maintained schools.

Questions	Answers
	Alongside our commitment to reduce own estate emissions, we are working to lead the way to a zero-carbon county. We are undertaking a wide programme of work including our zero-carbon-focussed travel strategies – such as the review of the Local Transport and Connectivity Plan, the Oxford Zero Emissions Zone, and Connecting Oxford – and our work on electric vehicle charging strategy and commitment to active travel. We are working in partnership to support planning for zero-carbon development and infrastructure through a new approach to the Oxfordshire Infrastructure Strategy and Oxfordshire Plan 2050, and to champion the environmental principles of the Arc. We are now in our twentieth year as lead funder of the Community Action Group project supporting Oxfordshire voluntary groups taking action to tackle climate change.
	We are partnering in a range of innovation programmes to ensure Oxfordshire can benefit from new technologies and approaches to decarbonisation. Examples include:
	 a. Project LEO (Local Energy Oxfordshire), the national demonstrators trialling smart grid and flexibility; b. Park n Charge, which is trialling new business models to accelerate the roll out of Electric Vehicle charging for residents without access to off-street charging.
	Further details of our commitment and plans for Countywide emissions can be found in our Climate Action Framework 2020 Climate Action Framework (oxfordshire.gov.uk)
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Thank you very much for that full and comprehensive answer to the Cabinet Member. Just a quick supplementary, could you outline or give an idea of what progress the County Council	The recent report went to Performance Scrutiny. We have exceeded the target expected by reducing carbon emissions in the County as opposed to our own estate by 12% which is a significant achievement, though we do recognise that a significant part of that is the result of last years heavy switch to renewable sources

Questions	Answers
is making towards supporting the target for Oxfordshire of net zero by 2050?	of energy, there are a numbers of days even weeks last year during the summer that no fossil fuel was used at all. There is steady progress in Oxfordshire and thank you for your question.
20. COUNCILLOR LIAM WALKER	COUNCILLOR LAWRIE STRATFORD, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE & PUBLIC HEALTH
Are the public health team in Oxfordshire aware of any venues that are offering a private vaccine service and if so, what action is being taken to stop	The COVID-19 vaccination is only available through the NHS to eligible groups and it is a free vaccination.
them?	We are not aware of any venues offering a private service.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Yes, thank you Councillor for your response. It is reassuring to know there is no venues in Oxfordshire doing private vaccines. But what would you say to Councillors or members of the public that might become aware of possible venues in Oxfordshire or perhaps in the West Midlands doing private vaccines?	Personally, I would discourage that sort of activity. The vaccination programme has been incredibly successful across the country but does recognise appropriate priorities for those in need. Thank you for the question.
21. COUNCILLOR ARASH FATEMIAN	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY
Can the Cabinet member for Highways advise when the long overdue - and very welcome - improvements to Bankside will be made, benefitting both residents in my division and those across Banbury?	I can confirm that the scheme is due to commence on 10 May. Residents will, I hope, be pleased with the result and I thank him and Councillor Mallon for helping push these important works along over several years.

SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION

Can I thank Councillor Reeves for the reply, it is very welcomed news. Given that we have been waiting for such a long time for those improvements and that it is causing traffic in other areas of Banbury as residents now avoid that road, can I ask him please to give a personal commitment that the works will start on 10 May and can I also put on record my thanks to Councillor Reeves but also ask him to thank his predecessor Councillor Walker for their work in getting this done.

Answers

SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER

Thank you, Councillor Fatemian for the supplementary. I am very happy to give as full a commitment as I can in the circumstances. I understand that the works were scheduled, for some time between late April and early June and the start date has been given as the 10 May which is welcome insofar as it is towards the front end of that set or parameters. As to thanking Councillor Walker, I am more than happy to do that, all I can say is come back Liam all is forgiven.

22. COUNCILLOR ARASH FATEMIAN

After reading the budget in detail, I welcome the investment in Oxfordshire for the next four years and am glad that was passed in Council with a majority of councillors across all parties. Does the Leader agree with me that the lack of any budget amendments from the Liberal Democrat party, is a) neither liberal, being in fact regressive and b) not democratic, by not allowing the residents of Oxfordshire to hear policy ideas debated, tested, and possibly adopted, as in previous years, in a debate. Especially as during the budget debate a number of councillors from that party seemed to indicate they are in favor of a larger (4.99%) increase in council tax, than that passed (2.99%), yet refused to formally table this. Does the leader also agree that as such, this is a gross dereliction of public responsibility and hopes that the public

COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

The budget setting process gives all parties the opportunity to put forward proposals highlighting their priorities are for the future year especially ahead of an election. The lack of any alternative proposals normally shows that the proposed budget by the Conservative Independent Alliance is approved by the Liberal Democrat group. This did not happen at this year's budget as they voted against the budget that contained

£200,000 for the roll out of 20 mph speed limits

£200,000 for additional drainage and vegetation clearance

£4 million for social services

£1 million for youth services

£150,000 for apprenticeships.

By voting against I can only conclude that the Liberal Democrats do not support these key policies for Oxfordshire's residents.

I am sure that The Liberal Democrat's election leaflets will contain references to

Questions	Answers
will hold them accountable at the ballot box on May 6th?	voting against these important proposals.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Can I thank Councillor Hudspeth for his reply. Can I ask Councillor Hudspeth, if like me, he was very surprised that the Liberal Democrat Party are against £4m for Social Services, they are against an extra £1m for Youth Services, they are against £150,000 for apprenticeships, they are against £200,000 for the roll out of 20mph speed limits and they are against £200,000 for additional drainage and vegetation clearance and as per my original question does he agree that their attitude in the budget process was a gross dereliction of public responsibility?	Thank you very Councillor Fatemian for your question. I think it is important that at all opportunities political parties say what they are putting forward and put forward their proposals. I was surprised, like you that the Liberal Democrats were against funding for 20mph, against funding for youth services, against additional funding to adult social care, against funding for drainage schemes and potholes and it will be interesting to see what they are going to put in their leaflets when they come out, because if they are true to their word, they will make sure that the population know what they voted against so that people can make a good decision on 6 May.
23. COUNCILLOR ROZ SMITH	COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL
Concerning the County's loss of £1.6m in the Parking Procurement case. Did the terms of reference of the Independent Review include examining the effectiveness of Portfolio Holders in their role?	As was set out by the Corporate Director for Customers, Organisational Development and Resources at the Audit and Governance Committee on 3 rd March 2021, the terms of reference for the review were broad in scope. They included the full remit of all relevant and related activity, decision making, process design, control and compliance, management and leadership, communications, any constitutional or procedural issues, judgement and decision making. These terms were not limited to one service area or element of the process.
	Likewise, the terms were not limited to the role of officers and portfolio holders participated in the investigation.

Questions	Answers
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Thank you Councillor Hudspeth for your answer and thank you for confirming that all aspects of the Council's involvement which lost of over £1.6m were covered in the Terms of Reference for the Independent Review including leadership and communications. We were informed at the Audit and Governance Committee on 3 March 2021 that there had been seismic failings. What specifically were there portfolio areas that had the failings and which portfolio holder Cabinet Members covered those particular areas of failure?	Thank you very much for the question. The report goes into the detail of what happened throughout the process, it has been an independent report and that is made clear. We have been open and transparent to have an independent report and the full details are there for everybody to see.
24. COUNCILLOR PAUL BUCKLEY	COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL
As a member of Audit and Governance Committee which considered the issue of the County's loss of £1.6m in the parking procurement case, please would the leader explain to Council which councillors have had access to the report of the Independent Review?	
	The report has not been released more widely (to officers other than those listed, or to members) for the following reasons:
	It was of critical importance that the Council's investigation was as wide

ranging and comprehensive as possible in order to properly identify and understand where there were failings, and who or what was responsible for those failings. In order to ensure that this was the case, witnesses (who were not legally obliged to provide evidence to the investigation) were given appropriate assurances that their evidence would be treated confidentially.

Questions	Answers
	The evidence gathered from those witnesses is set out in detail within the report that has been withheld from disclosure. That evidence is clearly attributable and includes the personal data not only of the witnesses, but of various other third parties. The information provided sets out the key themes from the investigation to allow the Council to address these failings moving forward. These have been clearly set out in a report to Audit and Governance Committee and are supported with a comprehensive action plan.
	It is accepted that the Audit and Governance Committee needs to have access to certain information for them to undertake their functions and the monitoring officer is satisfied that the information being provided to the Committee is adequate to meet that requirement. The information provided to the Audit and Governance Committee (and put into the public domain) draws out the key themes and findings from the investigation.
	There is a clear public interest in information gathered by the investigation, as well as in ensuring that the Council properly and fully addresses any failings identified to avoid further issues occurring moving forward. This is why the Council has already disclosed information into the public domain, including the information that is contained in the public papers of the Audit and Governance Committee. It is the view of the monitoring officer that this disclosure meets the public interest.
25. COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN	COUNILLOR DAVID BARTHOLOMEW, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE
On what date were you first informed of the compensation claim from Marston Holdings, what advice did you give officers at that point, what actions did you take when you were informed?	I was first informed of the claim and settlement on Thursday 30 th January 2020. After expressing my surprise that such a settlement could be reached without reference to the relevant Cabinet Member (Cllr Constance), or myself as Cabinet Member for Finance, I stated that a full investigation should be undertaken. I emailed the Chief Executive (copying the Leader) on Friday 31st January 2020,

Questions	Answers
	making clear my great concern. She replied stating the need to understand how a fundamental service failure had occurred and what needed to be done to prevent it occurring again.
	I raised the issue with senior officers and all Cabinet colleagues at Informal Cabinet on Tuesday 4th February 2020, repeating my request for a full investigation. The Chief Executive recognised the seriousness of the issue and confirmed this would happen. An independent investigation was commissioned and commenced in March 2020.
	I informed the Chairman of Audit & Governance about the issue at the earliest opportunity on Thursday 20th February 2020. Officers advised me that no public comments should be made until the independent report had been finalised, lest such comments jeopardise the report. I pressed officers on progress of the report on a regular basis. The investigation was expected to take a period of months due to its complexity and the task of tracking down previous employees to contribute. Delivery of the report was also delayed by complications arising from the pandemic.
	(Note that the publicly available February Cabinet report included an update on the budget position relating to the monthly position in December 2019. This report (annex C) set out a net £0.4m overspend in community operations with a £1.8m pressure on the parking account due to the 'combination of an historical downturn in parking income, increased running cost and parking enforcement procurement costs).
26. COUNCILLOR JANE HANNA	COUNCILLOR LORRAINE LINDSAY-GALE, CABINET MEMBER FOR EDUCATION & CULTURAL SERVICES
Please could the Cabinet Member list the dates between April 2017 and March 2020 of each request for a school place made by officers of this authority that was not met by a school or academy	Where a local authority considers that an Academy will best meet the needs of any child, it can ask the Academy to admit that child, but it has no power to direct it to do so. There is an expectation in the School Admissions Code 2014 that a local authority and an academy will usually come to an agreement, but if there is a

Questions	Answers
and the date when the matter was escalated to the Secretary of State with a request for an Order to be made?	refusal to admit a child, the relevant local authority can ask the Secretary of State to intervene. The Secretary of State has the power under an Academy's Funding Agreement to direct the Academy to admit a child and the ESFA (Education and Skills Funding Agency) acts on behalf of the Secretary of State in these matters. If after considering the case, the ESFA decides that it would be reasonable to issue a direction, a "minded to direct" letter is sent to the relevant school. Schools normally admit a child on receiving such a letter and it is rare for the ESFA to issue a formal direction. No formal directions were issued between April 2017 and March 2020. On each occasion that a case was referred to the Secretary of State by Oxfordshire County Council, the ESFA decided that it would be appropriate to issue a "minded to direct" letter in order to ensure that a place was offered at the relevant school.
	Between April 2017 and March 2020, there were 12 requests to the Secretary of State/ESFA to direct the admission of students who had been referred for action under the Fair Access Protocol. Of these requests 2 were rejected by the ESFA and 1 was discontinued because of the issuing of an EHCP (Education Health and Care Plan). The date of each referral and its outcome will be made available confidentially to the Cllr Hanna as they contain individual pupil level information.
	The referrals to the EFSA were all made following an initial unsuccessful referral to a Fair Access Panel. Each secondary phase Fair Access Panel meets 8 times per academic year and the Primary Fair Access Panel meets as necessary during the academic year.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Thank you for the reply. Have the Government published the dates for the changes urgently needed to the Admission Code with the view to protecting children needing places at Academies in the same way as there are protections for children seeking admissions to Local Authority schools?	Thank you for your interest in this issue Councillor Hanna. Frustratingly, no they haven't not yet, we are all waiting for it, and we all appreciate that it is an issue which really much get looked at and rectified because it has caused considerable problems, not just to this Council but to many.

Questions	Answers
27. COUNCILLOR GLYNIS PHILLIPS	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Can I please have an update on progress with implementing a safe pedestrian and cycle crossing at the end of Collinwood Road in Risinghurst? Can the Cabinet member confirm that officers are actively seeking s106 funding from the Thornhill Park development to fund this much needed and long overdue safe crossing?'	A feasibility study for a new toucan crossing of the A40 at Collinwood Rd has been completed by the county. As previously stated, there is currently no further funding to deliver the measure, however county officers will actively pursue suitable opportunities to secure funding for its delivery including through development contributions. This includes ongoing discussions regarding the Thornhill Park site.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
I need to register my continued disappointment about the lack of progress in implementing a safe crossing on this stretch of the A40. My question is where is this crossing on the Cabinet Member's list of priorities?	Thank you, Councillor Phillips, as you know the issue is not a question of understanding the need and the great need for that pedestrian crossing, the issue is funding. It is not on my agenda because I don't have a source of funding for it, but officers have reassured you in the answer that they continue to look for the possibility of raising funds through local developments. The Thornhill Park site is live, and officers are looking into it. We do not have the deep pockets to fund that scheme for you.
28. COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY
In November it was announced that a new Speeding Task Force was to be set up in partnership with Thames Valley Police. Can the Cabinet member for Highways please provide us with a progress report?	We can confirm that senior level meetings between Thames Valley Police (TVP) and the County Council are taking place to ensure a strong partnership in relation to speeding across the county. A key initiative that TVP are undertaking is a revamp and enhancement of the community SpeedWatch programme. This is looking at new systems and improved ways of working with the community. A trial was planned for last autumn but has been delayed due to COVID but will be progressed as soon as is possible.

SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION

Thank you for the answer Councillor Reeves, but I am asking this question because members of the SpeedWatch Team, several SpeedWatch teams in my division are wondering when they are going to be included in the discussion, could you let us know when you are going to be contacting local SpeedWatch Teams and asking for their views?

Answers

SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER

The simple answer is that the Thames Valley Police announced this last week. I have communicated to all my Parish Councils that Thames Valley has revived the scheme; they have reauthorized it. It is a very new decision we have not worked with Thames Valley yet to establish how best to roll this out. I suspect it will be done at Parish Council level and if officers are involved in this as opposed to Thames Valley, we will certainly inform the Parish Councils.

29. COUNCILLOR PETE SUDBURY

There is increasing evidence that mandatory cycle lanes demarcated only by painted lines do not increase safety, and that advisory lanes, (those marked by dashed lines) increase risk to cyclists compared to no lanes at all. In contrast, protected lanes, demarcated by a kerb or with the lane stepped up, very significantly reduce risks of injury, with the latter being the safest.

These differences are not trivial: a study by TfL, examining 2,876 cyclist injuries found protected lanes reduced the chance of injury by 40-65%, whereas advisory lanes increased it by 34% and mandatory "line only" lanes made no difference, even when episodic protection (e.g. regularly spaced blocks or posts) was in place. In the light of this, would the Cabinet Member think it appropriate to consider such measures as awareness campaigns for cyclists and motorists around the risks of non-protected lanes, changing its policy on

COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT

Advisory cycle lanes have been used for many decades within Oxford (with the first lanes in the city being installed in 1982) and elsewhere in the county, with mandatory lanes also provided in a smaller number of locations, and have typically been well received by the public, and in particular by cyclists. While it is true that before and after studies carried out by the County Council showed little if any change in the number of reported cycle accidents where either advisory or mandatory lanes have been provided, equally there has been no local evidence of cycle safety being compromised by their provision. The recently updated Department for Transport guidance (Local Transport Note 1/20) acknowledges the limitations of advisory and mandatory cycle lanes but recognises there are locations where other options are not viable. Our aspiration (as set out in our Cycling Design Standards, 2017) is to provide stronger segregation for cyclists where funding and site constraints permit, but where this is not currently possible. existing advisory and mandatory lanes will be retained and new ones provided, noting that the ongoing monitoring of reports of cycle accidents throughout the county shows no basis for removing either advisory and mandatory cycle lanes, a measure that would likely be highly unpopular with cyclists.

Questions	Answers
new cycle lanes to include only protected lanes and removing or upgrading all unprotected lanes?	
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
In 2019 the last year pre-Covid ,20% or road casualties were cyclist which is a vastly disproportionate risk compared to the number of miles they do. Is the County Council seriously ignoring a large piece of evidence that suggests ways in which that might be reduced?	I don't know how you understand or conclude that we are ignoring. We are working across the County to increase the road space, cycleways and access for cyclist throughout Oxford City, throughout the market towns and across the County where possible. We expect to see very much reduced statistics for accidents with cyclists. We are making it as safe and accessible as we can do.
30. COUNCILLOR SUSANNA PRESSEL	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
We were promised a low-traffic neighbourhood in Walton Street. We bid for and got government money to promote active travel in Jericho. Why is the County Council now proposing measures which bear no resemblance whatsoever to a low-traffic neighbourhood and which will not encourage more walking and cycling?	The consultation proposals have been developed following engagement and feedback from those in the local community. The proposed measures, put to consultation, allow only pedestrians and cyclists to making certain movements and are specifically intended to restrict through traffic from motor vehicles passing through the area. We therefore disagree that the proposals would not create an environment that encourages walking and cycling. Should the measures be implemented, this would be done as a trial with surveys being undertaken to assess the schemes performance.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
In her answer the Cabinet Member claims the proposal will restrict through traffic. Please can she tell me how it will restrict through traffic travelling north?	Certainly, it will have a greater effect in traffic travelling south, the issue of traffic going north I think is one that we will have to examine more closely. The intention is that we stop through traffic through the Walton Street area. I note your question, and more than that I can't say at this stage, as you know, the issue will come to me for decision, but the point that you make is noted.

Questions	Answers
31. COUNCILLOR SUSANNA PRESSEL	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
The City Council is planning to make all its vehicles electric by 2030. A quarter of them will be electric by 2023. How does this compare with the County Council?	The County Council has a strong track record on carbon emissions reduction. We are committed to moving to electric vehicles, in tandem with reducing overall car travel, in the County and on our own estate. In our own fleet, we are working to phase out petrol and diesel vehicles, ensuring, where feasible, all new vehicle acquisitions are zero tailpipe emission by default on renewal.
	In 2019 we agreed an Ultra-Low Emission Vehicles Policy statement 2019 setting our commitments for the council's owned or leased fleet:
	 Transition out cars starting immediately, aiming for the majority of vehicles to be zero emission by 2024. Begin to transition out vans, starting immediately, whilst recognising the market is less developed, aiming for transition of the majority of vehicles to be complete by 2028. Actively explore alternatives, and innovative solutions (electric, hydrogen etc.) to reduce emissions from heavy good vehicles and specialist vehicles (fire engines, minibuses), bringing forward business cases as they become financially and operationally viable.
	We are currently operating 21 Electric Vehicles including 9 cars, 9 vans, and 3 minibuses / Multi-purpose Vehicles. These EVs have been added to fleet over the past 24 months. We have installed 44 EV chargepoints across 19 council buildings to support charging of existing EVs and enable uptake of further EVs in our fleet. We are also engaging with new technologies such as vehicle to grid charging. The council has just agreed a new strategic management approach to fleet, which will further support emissions reduction from fleet by transition to electric and offer opportunities to look at shared fleet.

Questions	Answers
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
In her answer the Cabinet Member says that she is committed to reducing overall car travel, please can she tell me how this will be achieved and over what timescale?	We are working with a well performed programme towards congestion management with the Workplace Parking Scheme, and at the moment we are still intending to get to a consultation on that scheme by the end of this year. We are also working through our local transport and connectivity plan; the vision statement is out for consultation at the moment until next week. We are looking across the County to reduce the dependence on car transport, we are looking for digital connectivity, we are looking to persuade people out of car, on to buses, on the bicycles and to walking where possible. The necessity to reduce car travel is at the forefront of our lines at shaping all policy. I personally regard congestion as the major problem in Oxfordshire.
32. COUNCILLOR SUSANNA PRESSEL	COUNILLOR DAVID BARTHOLOMEW, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE
We were promised that when we left the EU an extra £350m a week would be available for public services like the NHS. How much of this do we expect to come to Oxfordshire?	Queries relating to central government decisions on NHS funding are outside the remit of this Council.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
Presumably the Chancellors recent budget factored this bonanza into the calculations. Can the Cabinet Member please identify examples of this £350m a week being spent in Oxfordshire if he can't do so for the NHS?	I am unaware of any schedule that details the hypothecation of Brexit savings to the NHS or Oxfordshire. As I have already said these issues are beyond the remit of this Council.

33. COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN

The current Eynsham Park & Ride plans do not take account of a future Witney to Oxford railway line and paragraph 63 of the planning application explicitly states that longer term measures such as re-opening the Witney to Oxford railway line have been discounted. Now that the leader has given his support in a letter to the Witney to Oxford Transport Group for their application to the Department for Transport for funding for a feasibility study, will he now confirm that if the application is successful, the line will no longer be discounted?

Answers

COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT

I'm sure that Cllr Leffman will agree with me that its vital we improve public transport and cycling facilities along the A40 corridor to give the residents of West Oxfordshire the opportunity to get out of their cars. This is exactly why we are investing £175 million to provide improved public transport and cycling facilities on the A40. We have based this on many reports dating back to the turn of the century when all options including a rail link has been considered.

Oxfordshire County council is part of the North Cotswold Line Task Force working with 4 other County councils, Network rail and Great Western Railway to deliver 4 trains per hour to Hanborough station providing another public transport option. I 've supported the feasibility study on the understanding that it will not delay or detract from the groups work as its vital we deliver improved services on the North Cotswold line.

If the bid for funding is successful and a feasibility study produced then it will be taken into consideration in the future, but any rail line would be a very long term project and I cannot delay these vital projects that will give the residents of West Oxfordshire real travel options within the next few years.

SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION

Thank you for your reply Councillor Constance, you have just said that you want to get people of their cars and yet we are building a Park and Ride at Eynsham and we are not making provision for a railway line, which might very well be the transport of the future between Witney and Oxford. Having heard what Charlie Maynard had to say, would she agree that we do need to include such a provision in our plans and that not to do so would be very

SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER

The first thing to deal with is the Park and Ride at Eynsham, which is of course a scheme we are able to deliver now to manage traffic as it is. It would be madness to ignore that possibility in the hope that we will get people out of cars in 5 or 6 years-time. I do refer to our plan in the new transport strategy to reduce car use and one of those ideas for instance is to have smaller parking pods along radial roads so that people would be able to cycle to them, possibly even walk to them to pick up a bus, that is an ambition I have stated publicly quite frequently. The issue was the train from Oxford to Witney, though Witney to Carterton is one that is already been answered in this meeting, it was not included in the Oxford Rail

Questions	Answers
short-sighted?	Corridor Study by agreement with all parties including I believe the Growth Board group that sat on it and it is simply not within the consideration of the Country's transport strategy at the moment.
34. COUNCILLOR JANE HANNA	COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL
Can the leader provide an update on action since the County Council unanimous support for the December 8 th Motion on OX12 including what he has done to influence a positive commitment within BOB to acceptable health and social care provision for the residents at the heart of the OX12 population pilot and whether he can make public his correspondence to the Prime Minister, the Select Committees for Health and Social Care, Housing, Communities and Local Government to urge the vital importance of safeguarding local democracy and scrutiny as non-elected decision-makers implement policy across Oxfordshire.	Since the December meeting I have been only able to attend 1 meeting of the Chairman's group when I raised the issue of how best we can influence local decision making for areas such as the OX12 group. The input was welcomed as it highlights the fact that future decisions regarding healthcare within the ICS framework should always be made at the appropriate level based upon the overall strategy for the ICS. We have also seen the publication of the NHS white paper which helps further clarify the expectations of the relationships between the NHS and local government. HWB Board recently have received a presentation on the work we are going to do across NHS and local authorities focused on the life stage of Ageing Well to develop a truly integrated community service strategy to ensure: Increasing independence and health and wellbeing outcomes for our population; Working with our population to make best use of our people, our systems and our assets. This piece of work will include defining and agreeing the role of community- based beds in this.
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
The Leader hasn't answered my question. As Chair of Health & Wellbeing November 2018 which confirmed OX12 as the pilot for future planning of services across Oxfordshire on a population-based	Thank you very much for the supplementary, I disagree, I have answered the question absolutely because of course you asked me to raise the issue of OX12 at the ICS Chairs meeting, which I did, and I am quite happy to find the details where it was discussed, if it was minuted. But I certainly put it forward because we were

approach. Can he provide the dates and minutes of the meeting of Chairs that took place as this does not bode well for a local population approach which respects and treats residents well? Can he also answer the second part of my question - has he and can he share the public correspondence asked for by Council in December to Government and national scrutiny bodies about the rising powers of unelected bodies?

Answers

discussing more generally all the local issues across all of the ICS area and that is key to understand because it is not just about OX12 it is about Oxfordshire, Buckinghamshire and West Berkshire so we have got to look at all those individual cases, and that was brought forward at the meeting to be discussed to make sure that there is real local input into those decision makings. It is about where the decisions are made - at a place level or a system level and that is one of the key things working through the ICS. I always make sure local decisions are made at the appropriate level wherever that level sits and of course I will happily share other emails and letters with you as well.

35. COUNCILLOR JENNY HANNABY

Can the cabinet member provide information on when they were first aware that Oxfordshire County Council's care home in Kidlington, run by the Order of St John, was in crisis and at risk of closure, and the earliest date that the 28 residents and their families were consulted about the closure of the home and what good practice was followed, given many would have been shocked and their well-being at risk with expectations that they would have been able to stay in their home. Can the cabinet member give reassurances that the decision on the closure of the care home in Kidlington will now go to property for consideration of the use of Oxfordshire County Council's asset and best value for Oxfordshire's residents?

COUNCILLOR LAWRIE STRATFORD, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE & PUBLIC HEALTH

Thank you for your question. The council along with our partner 'The Order of St Johns Trust' work closely to ensure that our services are modern, fit for purpose and delivering value for money. The Glebe residential home built in the 1970s has struggled to deliver a service that is attractive to people in 2020 due to limitations of the building and the reducing number of people needing this type of support. Less people need this support due to the increased alternatives available to help people remain in their own homes or through alternative accommodation support such as Extra Care Housing, live in support etc.

We fully recognise the anxiety that any change can cause individuals and their families, and we have worked closely with families and OSJT to make the transition as smooth as possible. I'm pleased to say that all individuals have now moved safely to their new homes the majority to other OSJT homes.

Finally, the decision about the future use of the Glebe will be made following usual procedures led by the council's property department.

Questions	Answers
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
It is regrettable that there are any residential home closures in Oxfordshire, but does he agree that the building could be put to good use for the community unlike the Day Centre in Wantage which has been left to deteriorate badly with no discussions on what to do with it.	Thank you for the supplementary Councillor Hannaby, yes, I agree any building that can be used should be. I think the answer originally given that it will be referred to our property section who will make the best use of the facility and it is always valued especially in smaller places like Kidlington.
36. COUNCILLOR JANE HANNA	COUNCILLOR STEVE HARROD, CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN & FAMILY SERVICES
Given the BBC Today extremely troubling	In 2019-20:
coverage on 12 th March about the placement market for children in care, how many of Oxfordshire's children have experienced multiple	- 11% of children we care for experienced 3 or more placement moves in the year.
moves and/ or moves out of Oxfordshire in the last twelve months; what is the highest weekly cost that the Council has paid; and what are Oxfordshire County Council's average costs for a placement for a vulnerable child and how far have these increased since 2013?	- 36% of children we care for were placed out of county and more than 20 miles from their coming into care address. We recognise that this is not good enough and our placement sufficiency strategy addresses the need to create more local placements, reflected in the council's investment in in-house foster care; the reprovision of Maltfield through a new build and the commissioning of more local residential placements.
	The highest weekly cost for a placement this financial year was a bridging placement at £10,000 per week. The young person later moved to a longer-term placement which cost £5532 per week.
	In 2019/20 – the average cost of a placement for children we care for was approx. £1,050.
	In 2013/14 – the average cost of a placement for children we care for was approx. £850.

Questions	Answers
	This is an increase of 23.5% over 6 years, an average of 3.9% per annum. The increase is due to a mix of price inflation and the changing placement mix (e.g. more IFA and residential placements than in 2013).
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
I welcome the Cabinet Member agreeing that this is not good enough, but given how shocking these statistics are and as Corporate Parent does he agree as a minimum there should be an urgent and full report on the impact on children in our care and on the finances of the County caught up in all of this?	Thank you for your question, Councillor Hanna. I agree that you have highlighted a problem there. One of the other issues you might have alluded to in terms of Corporate Parenting is that I am very disappointed that there are so few elected members who attend the Corporate Parenting Panel which is now called Guardians for Us. We had one just yesterday and there were only three or four members on there. So, I would like to see a lot more involvement of elected members in those panels and then we might be in a position to be able to lobby for greater funding, so thank you for your question.
37. COUNCILLOR JENNY HANNABY	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Given restoring Grove Station has been an aspiration of Oxfordshire County Council since 1979 and since requests for funding or calls for action by all of Wantage Constituency MPs since then, most recently the bid for £50,000 during 2020, have all been unsuccessful, what reassurances can be given to the expanding populations in the Grove and Wantage settlements and wider area that the small sum needed of £85,000 to guarantee that the `determine' stage will at least start during 2021 has been or will be found?	A funding bid has been submitted to the Government's 'Restoring Your Railways' Ideas Fund for Grove Station, backed by David Johnston MP. We expect to hear the outcome of this bid in May – our ability to progress the scheme at this time is dependent on that bid being successful.

Questions	Answers
SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION	SUPPLEMENTARY ANSWER
David Johnston MP was unsuccessful in the last bid. Should that happen again, will the County step in and fund the very small amount to allow the business case for Grove Station to continue since it is an aspiration of the County and a necessity of the residents of Grove and Wantage.	Thank you for drawing attention to this very live and possible successful bid which is being sponsored by the Conservative MP in Wantage. You speak of it being unsuccessful, we were advised not to submit in the second round because we were at that stage involved in a massive study which was led by Oxfordshire County Council officers into the rail corridor study for the whole of Oxfordshire and its influence in the region from Oxford to Cambridge. That is now complete and has been part of the background information we have now been able to supply to Government to the Department for Transport one week ago. The bid was submitted on 12 th in time and it will probably be the most developed bid that DfT will see in this programme. The issue is due to be considered along with 88 other bids and we do recognise that levelling up is a priority now for Government. But this is far from an unsuccessful bid and there is much to hope for. I agree with you

that a Grove Station is one of Wantage's great necessities.